

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/593,074	Applicant(s) MELLEGARD ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher Upton	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) David Cho.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Frommann

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 to recite that the entire cycle of motion of the moveable rods, as described in the specification, to patentably distinguish over Frommann.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher Upton/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)